Abstract: During a recent molecular-phylogenetic revision of *Deinostigma*, material previously included in *Chirita minutihamata* D.Wood was assumed to belong to two different entities, *Deinostigma minutihamata* (D.Wood) D.J.Middleton & H.J.Atkins for material collected in Vietnam and *D. cicatricosa* (W.T.Wang) D.J.Middleton & Mich.Möller for material from China, although without supporting molecular evidence for the Vietnamese taxon. Here, we provide results in support of this decision in the form of a molecular phylogenetic analysis that includes material of *D. minutihamata* recently collected in Vietnam. This analysis shows that *D. cicatricosa* is more closely related to the other Chinese species, *D. cyrtocarpa* (D.Fang & L.Zeng) Mich.Möller & H.J.Atkins, than to the Vietnamese *D. minutihamata*. We also provide amended detailed descriptions of *D. minutihamata* and *D. cicatricosa*.
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Introduction

Based on both molecular and morphological data, the genus *Deinostigma* W.T.Wang & Z.Y.Li (Gesneriaceae) was recently expanded from being a monotypic genus, with *D. poilanei* (Pellegr.) W.T.Wang & Z.Y.Li the only representative, to one that currently includes seven species (Möller et al., 2016).

During the course of that study, the disjunct distribution of *Chirita minutihamata* D.Wood, as circumscribed by Wood (1974) and Wang et al. (1998), between central Vietnam and Guangxi in China was noted. While the plants were very similar in appearance, significant differences were also apparent, particularly in the longer, slender, more falcate fruits and the generally slightly larger flowers of the Chinese material. Together with the widely disjunct distribution, this led Möller et al. (2016) to treat them as separate species and the relevant new combinations were made: *D. minutihamata* (D.Wood) D.J.Middleton & H.J.Atkins for the Vietnam material, and *Deinostigma cicatricosa* (W.T.Wang) D.J.Middleton & Mich.Möller for the Chinese material. These combinations were made in anticipation of further studies, including through the use of molecular data when material of *D. minutihamata* became available, confirming that they were two distinct species.

During recent fieldwork by one of the authors, BHQ in Vietnam in June 2018, a collection was made in Quang Nam Province, Vietnam, conforming morphologically to *D. minutihamata*. Herbarium specimens and silica dried leaf material were collected. With this new material available for detailed comparative morphological and molecular phylogenetic studies, we are now able to investigate whether the recognition of *D. cicatricosa* as distinct from *D. minutihamata* is justified.
Materials and Methods

Plant materials

Materials of *D. minutihamata* were collected from Quang Nam Province, Vietnam, in June 2018 (B.H.Quang Coll no. 218, N 15°02'37.5'', E 108°02'19.9'', 692 m) for morphological and phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 1). Voucher specimens were deposited in the herbarium of the Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, IEBR, Vietnam (HN, following the herbarium abbreviations of Thiers [continuously updated]).

For phylogenetic studies, six sequences of four species were downloaded from GenBank, *D. cicatricosa* and *D. cyrtocarpa* (D.Fang & L.Zeng) Mich.Möller & H.J.Atkins from China, and *D. tamiana* (B.L.Burtt) D.J.Middleton & H.J.Atkins and *D. poilanei* from Vietnam. The outgroup consisted of 17 samples of 15 species in 9 genera implicated in the relationship spanning *Deinostigma* and *Primulina* Hance (Ranasinghe, 2017) (Table 1). The trees were rooted on *Didymocarpus antirrhinoides* A.Weber based on a comprehensive four plastid gene phylogeny (Ranasinghe, 2017).

Total DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Genomic DNA was extracted from leaf tissue ground in liquid nitrogen according to the CTAB protocol of Doyle and Doyle (1990). The quality of DNA was determined using 1.0% agarose gels. The purified genomic DNA was quantified using a BioRad Smartspec 3000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (California, USA).

Sequences of the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacers (ITS) and the plastid *trnL-F* intron spacer (*trnL-F*) were PCR-amplified using primers: '5P' (5'-GGA AGG AGA AGT CGT AAC AAG G-3') and '8P' (5'-CAC GCT TCT CCA GAC TAC A-3') (Möller & Cronk, 1997) and 'c' (5'-CGA AAT CGG TAG ACG CTA-3') and 'f' (5'ATT TGA ACT GGT GAC ACG AG-3') (Taberlet et al., 1991). The PCR reaction mixture contained 15µl Hotstart PCR Mastermix (Promega, USA), 1µl forward and reverse primers (10 μM), 2 µl DNA template and dH₂O up to a volume of 30µl. The PCR programme settings for ITS were: 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 35 s, 55°C for 45 s and 72°C for 60 s, and finished with 72°C for 3 min; for *trnL-F* it was: 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 35 s, 50°C for 30 s and 72°C for 45 s, and finished with 72°C for 3 min. Electrophoresis of 5µl PCR product on a 1.5% agarose gel in TEA buffer (1h, 100V) was carried out to check for amplification success and quality. PCR products were purified using ExoSAP-IT (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Buckinghamshire, UK) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequencing was performed at the Apical Scientific Sdn Bhd sequencing service (Selangor, Malaysia). Sequence clean-up and sequence assembly, was carried out by the Department of Molecular Systematics and Conservation Genetics (IBER).

Phylogenetic analysis

The sequences downloaded from GenBank and the newly acquired data were assembled in two matrices, one for ITS and one for *trnL-F* and included 24 samples each. The sequences were aligned online in MAFFT (v.7 online (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) (Kuraku et al., 2013; Katoh et al., 2017) and adjusted manually. The two matrices were tested for phylogenetic incongruences with the incongruence length difference test (ILD) implemented in PAUP* v.4.0a163 (Swofford, 2002) as the partition homogeneity test, and was run for 100 replicates.

The data matrices were analysed by maximum parsimony (MP) and Bayesian Inference (BI) in PAUP and MrBayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003; Ronquist et al., 2012). Parsimony trees were obtained from 10,000 random addition sequence trees that were optimized using MulTrees, SteepestDescent, and Tree-Bisection-Reconnection (TBR). Node support was estimated with 10,000 bootstrap replicates, each comprising
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Deposited</th>
<th>ITS Sequence</th>
<th>trnLF Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&amp; B.L.Burtt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Agalmyla paucipilosa</em></td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>P. Smith &amp; L. Galloway 261</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>HQ632990</td>
<td>HQ632893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilliard &amp; B.L.Burtt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deinostigma cicatricosa</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>M. Moeller &amp; Y.G. Wei MMO07-1148</td>
<td>IBK/E</td>
<td>KU990880</td>
<td>KU990880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W.T.Wang) D.J.Middleton &amp; Mich.Möller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deinostigma cicatricosa</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>W.B. Xu s.n.</td>
<td>IBK</td>
<td>JX506925</td>
<td>JX506817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W.T.Wang) D.J.Middleton &amp; Mich.Möller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deinostigma cyrtocarpa</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>M. Moeller &amp; Y.G. Wei MMO 06-908</td>
<td>IBK/E</td>
<td>KU990889</td>
<td>KU990885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deinostigma cyrtocarpa</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>W.B. Xu s.n.</td>
<td>IBK</td>
<td>JX506885</td>
<td>JX506777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deinostigma minutithamata</em></td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>B.H. Quang 218</td>
<td>HN</td>
<td>MT066216</td>
<td>MT075730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D.Wood) D.J.Middleton &amp; H.J.Atkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deinostigma poilanei</em></td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>R. Rybkova HB222</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>KU990892</td>
<td>KU990888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pellegr.) W.T.Wang &amp; Z.Y.Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deinostigma tamiana</em></td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Soviet-Vietnam Expedition (Liberec BG, Czech Republic &amp; St. Petersburg BG) 01/114</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>KU990891</td>
<td>KU990887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B.L.Burtt) D.J.Middleton &amp; H.J.Atkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didymocarpus antirrhinoides</em></td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>K. Jong 9009 (RBGE cult. 19650167)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>DQ912671</td>
<td>FJ501513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.Weber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didymostigma obtusum</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>M. Moeller et al. 08-1310</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>HQ632971</td>
<td>HQ632875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Clarke) W.T.Wang</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didymostigma trichanthera</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>M. Moeller et al. 08-1335</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>HQ632972</td>
<td>HQ632876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.X.Ye &amp; X.G.Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiboea fangii</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>M. Moeller et al. 08-1284</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>HQ632979</td>
<td>HQ632882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chun ex Z.Y.Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiboea longgangensis</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Y.G. Wei 07550</td>
<td>IBK</td>
<td>HQ632986</td>
<td>HQ632889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z.Y.Li</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Metapetrocosmea peltata</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Y.G. Wei 07-702</td>
<td>IBK</td>
<td>HQ632968</td>
<td>HQ632872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Merr. &amp; Chun) W.T.Wang</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oreocharis acaulis</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>M. Moeller et al. 08-1328</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>HQ633012</td>
<td>HQ632916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Merr.) Mich.Möller &amp; A.Weber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oreocharis henryanana</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>M. Moeller et al. 10-1691</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>JF697574</td>
<td>JF697586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliv.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Petrocodon dealbatus</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Xie Qingjian J-042 (US 422841)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FJ501358</td>
<td>FJ501537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Petrocodon dealbatus</em></td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Y.G. Wei 2010-03</td>
<td>IBK</td>
<td>JF697578</td>
<td>JF697590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var. denticulatus*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W.T.Wang) W.T.Wang</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bayesian inference analyses were implemented using substitution models selected separately for the ITS spacers, the 5.8S gene and trnL-F in MrModelTest2_64bit (Nylander, 2004), under the Akaike Information Criterion (Akaike, 1974), and were GTR+G for the ITS spacers, SYM+I+G for the ITS-5.8S gene, and GTR+I for trnL-F. Two independent runs of four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains were run for one million generations, sampling every 1,000 generations. A stop-rule was implemented when the average standard deviation of split frequencies reached 0.01, and after removing the burn-in set to 10% of the sampled trees, a majority rule consensus tree was built from the remaining sampled trees, providing also the posteriori probabilities for each node.

Results
The ILD test returned a maximum value \( (P=1.0) \) which indicated that no incongruence between the data sets existed. As such the phylogenetic analyses were performed on combined data. The combined matrix had 1,583 characters of which 1,098 were constant (69.4%), 157 variable (9.9%) and 328 parsimony-informative (20.7%). The MP analysis retained one most parsimonious tree of 1,068 steps length, a consistency index (CI) of 0.6442 and retention index (RI) of 0.6933 that was fully resolved. Convergence of the BI runs was satisfactory (Appendix 1). The topology of the MP and BI trees were identical except for two branches that collapsed in the latter that also had no support in the MP analysis (Figs. 2 & 3).

In both analyses, *Deinostigma* was monophyletic with high branch support (MPBS=85%; BIPP=0.97). It is sister to *Metapetrocosmea* W.T.Wang (MPBS=100%; BIPP=1) and distant from the *Primulina* samples that were sister to the *Petrocodon* Hance samples with very high branch support (MPBS=99%; BIPP=1). The samples of the Chinese species of *Deinostigma*, *D. cyrtocarpa* and *D. cicatricosa*, are sister and monophyletic (MPBS=62%; BIPP=0.76). The species pair *D. tamiana* and *D. poilanei* from Vietnam are also sister (MPBS=99%; BIPP=1). The sample of *D. minutihamata* from Vietnam is sister to the two Chinese species in the MP analysis (MPBS=<50%), and on a polytomy with these and the clade of the sister pair *D. tamiana* and *D. poilanei* in the BI analysis.

Discussion
Our molecular phylogenetic analyses confirm the suggestion by Möller *et al.* (2016) that the collections subsumed under *Chirita minutihamata* by Wood (1974) and Wang *et al.* (1998) belong indeed to two different species, since the material from China, now regarded as *D. cicatricosa*, is sister to *D. cyrtocarpa* with reasonable support, rather than to the Vietnamese specimen of *D. minutihamata* (Figs. 2 & 3). This makes geographic sense since the two Chinese species are more closely related to each other than to the Vietnamese species (Figs. 1–3). The morphological case to support the separate status of *D. minutihamata* and *D. cicatricosa* has been made above and previously concerning the corolla size and fruit shape (Möller *et al.*, 2016). Amended descriptions are provided below. From these, corolla colour, filament indumentum and fruit size can be added to the list of characters differentiating the two species. A summary of the main morphological characters differentiating all three species is provided in Table 2 and photographic images are provided in Fig. 4.

The phylogenies we reconstruct here do not completely reflect the distribution of *Deinostigma* species across the range of the genus, since the strongly supported sister pair in Vietnam, *D. tamiana* and *D. poilanei*, are rather disjunct in the north on the one hand and the centre and south of Vietnam on the other. However, these disjunctions may represent an artefact of undersampling, and...
Fig. 2. Single most parsimonious tree of 1068 steps length (CI=0.6442; RI=0.6933), based on combined ITS and trnL-F data for the relationships among Deinostigma species. Bootstrap values along the branches. * denotes values <50%.
Additional fieldwork may yet uncover more distribution points for some of the species, or as yet undiscovered species. Vietnam is known to be undercollected (Middleton et al., 2019) and the consequences of low collection density have been demonstrated in other Gesneriaceae genera, such as *Oreocharis* Benth., which until recently was only known from one species in Vietnam, but which in the last two years has increased to eight species due to new collections from recent expeditions (Möller et al., 2018). Most of these *Oreocharis* species are local endemics and only one, *O. aurea* Dunn, is widespread in southern Yunnan and northern Vietnam (Chen et al., 2018). Such patterns of high levels of narrow endemism and rarer widespread distributions within a genus are common in Gesneriaceae (Middleton et al., 2019) and may also be present in *Deinostigma*, where most species have a narrow distribution and only *D. minutihamata* and *D. poilanei* appear to be more widespread (Fig. 1). However, more fieldwork is needed, particularly in Vietnam and perhaps also in Laos, to obtain a complete picture of the distribution of *Deinostigma* species.


**Vernacular name**: 多皱奇柱苣苔, Duó zhòu qí zhù jù tāi (Chinese)

Perennial herbs. Stems decumbent or erect, densely pubescent with hairs of varying lengths, the longer hairs mostly glandular but with scattered eglandular hairs and small hooked hairs, glabrescent with age, peg-like bases of fallen leaves persistent. Leaves alternate, crowded towards branch apices, internodes 3–8 mm; petioles 1.3–6 cm long, densely pubescent with longer glandular hairs and short hooked hairs; blade ovate to elliptic, 1.8–7.5 × 1.4–4.3 cm, 0.9–2.2 times as long as wide, base cuneate to subcordate, apex short acuminate, margins crenate, secondary veins 4–5 on each side of midrib, sparsely to densely pubescent above and beneath. Inflorescences axillary, few-flowered, sometimes only 1-flowered, to 10 cm long, all axes with longer glandular hairs and short hooked hairs; peduncle 4.5–5 cm long; bracts ovate, c. 7.5 × 3.2 mm, apex acuminate; pedicels 6–9 mm long. Calyx 5-lobed; corolla infundibuliform, 45–

### Table 2. Comparison of characteristics of three species in *Deinostigma*, *D. cyrtocarpa*, *D. cicatricosa* and *D. minutihamata*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>3–15 × 1.5–6.5 cm</td>
<td>1.8–7.5 × 1.4–4.3 cm</td>
<td>2.6–10.2 × 1.8–4 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corolla length</td>
<td>3.3–5.5 cm</td>
<td>4.5–5.8 cm</td>
<td>3.7–5.2 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corolla colour</td>
<td>Dark purple</td>
<td>Dark purple</td>
<td>Pale purple to violet, with a few darker lines ventrally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filament indumentum</td>
<td>Glandular puberulent, densely bearded apically</td>
<td>Densely long pubescent</td>
<td>Glabrous except sparsely pubescent at apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary length</td>
<td>7–9 mm</td>
<td>c. 13 mm</td>
<td>c. 13 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit position</td>
<td>Plagoicarpic, at ±90° angle to pedicel</td>
<td>Orthocarpic, ± straight in relation to pedicel</td>
<td>Orthocarpic, ± straight in relation to pedicel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit shape and size</td>
<td>Straight, 1.5–2 cm long</td>
<td>Curved, 4.5–5 cm long</td>
<td>Straight, 2–2.3 cm long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit dehiscence</td>
<td>Predominantly loculicidally along the upper suture</td>
<td>Loculicidally dehiscing along both sutures into 2 valves</td>
<td>Loculicidally dehiscing along both sutures into 2 valves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Fig. 3. Bayesian inference majority rule consensus tree with average branch lengths based on combined ITS and trnL-F data for the relationships among Deinostigma species. Posterior probabilities along the branches.
Notes on Deinostigma minutihamata

58 mm long; dark purple, tube 33–37.5 mm long, lobes orbicular, apices rounded; upper lobes 7–9 × 7–9 mm, lateral lobes 8 × 10–11 mm, lower lobe 8–9.5 × 9.2–11.5 mm, pubescent outside with glandular hairs, glabrous inside. Fertile stamens 2; filaments slightly curved, 10–12 mm long, densely long pubescent; anthers coherent, 1.5–2 × 3.5–5.5 mm, densely pubescent; staminodes 3, 8.5–10 mm long, densely pubescent. Disc 5-lobed, c. 1 mm high. Ovary c. 13 mm long, densely glandular pubescent; style c. 15 mm long, densely glandular pubescent; stigma chiritoid, lower lip 2-lobed, c. 5.5 mm long. Mature capsule curved, 4.5–5 cm long. Seeds not seen.

Flowering & fruiting: Flowering from October–December and fruiting from November.

Habitat: Growing in montane forests.

Distribution: Endemic to China (Guangxi).

Specimen examined: CHINA, Guangxi (as Kwangtung on label, Kwangtung–Tonkin border), Fang Cheng district, Kung Ping Shan and vicinity, semi-woody, growing in thicket, 25–30.08.1936, W.T.Tsang 26711 (E [E00627703]).


Vernacular name: Bao xuân mộc nhỏ (Vietnamese).

Subshrub or perennial herbs, to 40 cm tall. Stems decumbent, branched, densely pubescent with hairs of varying lengths, the longer hairs mostly eglandular but with scattered glandular hairs and small hooked hairs, glabrescent with age, peg-like bases of fallen leaves persistent. Leaves alternate, crowded towards branch apices, internodes 3–6 mm; petioles 1.7–4 cm long, densely pubescent with glandular and eglandular hairs; blade ovate to elliptic, 2.6–10.2 × 1.8–4 cm, 1.2–3 times as long as wide, base cuneate, rarely to obtuse, apex short acuminate, margins weakly crenate, secondary veins 4–5 on each side of midrib, densely pubescent above and beneath. Inflorescences axillary, few-flowered, sometimes only 1-flowered, to 8.2 cm long, all axes with longer glandular and eglandular hairs and shorter hooked hairs; peduncle to 2.7 cm long; bracts ovate, c. 13 × 7 mm, apex acuminate; pedicels 5–28 mm long. Calyx 5-lobed; lobes divided to base, narrowly ovate, 16–17 × 2–2.2 mm, apex acuminate, densely pubescent as on inflorescence axes. Corolla infundibuliform, 37–52 mm long; pale blue to violet with few darker lines ventrally, lobes orbicular, apices rounded; tube 28–35 mm long; upper lobes c. 9 × 7 mm, lateral lobes c. 5 × 7 mm, lower lobe c. 7 × 7 mm, pubescent outside with glandular and eglandular hairs, glabrous inside. Fertile stamens 2; filaments slightly curved, c. 11.6 mm long, densely pubescent at apex; anthers coherent, 1.4–2 × 3–5 mm, densely pubescent; staminodes 3, c. 8 mm long, pubescent at apex. Disc not seen. Ovary c. 13 mm long, densely glandular pubescent; style c. 15 mm long, densely glandular pubescent; stigma chiritoid, lower lip 2-lobed, c. 3 mm long. Capsules narrowly fusiform, straight, 2–3.5 cm long, sparsely pubescent. Seeds 0.4–0.5 × 0.15–0.2 mm.

Flowering & fruiting: Flowering from April–June and fruiting from July–December.

Habitat: Growing on rocks in primary forest.

Distribution: Endemic to Vietnam.

Specimens examined: VIETNAM, Kon Tum, Dak Glei district, Massif du Ngok Pan, 2300 m, 12.12.1946, E. Poilane 35803 (holo P [P00602518] isotype P [P00602519]). Fig. 4e–j

Vernacular name: Bao xuân mộc nhỏ (Vietnamese).

Subshrub or perennial herbs, to 40 cm tall. Stems decumbent, branched, densely pubescent with hairs of varying lengths, the longer hairs mostly eglandular but with scattered glandular hairs and small hooked hairs, glabrescent with age, peg-like bases of fallen leaves persistent. Leaves alternate, crowded towards branch apices, internodes 3–6 mm; petioles 1.7–4 cm long, densely pubescent with glandular and eglandular hairs; blade ovate to elliptic, 2.6–10.2 × 1.8–4 cm, 1.2–3 times as long as wide, base cuneate, rarely to obtuse, apex short acuminate, margins weakly crenate, secondary veins 4–5 on each side of midrib, densely pubescent above and beneath. Inflorescences axillary, few-flowered, sometimes only 1-flowered, to 8.2 cm long, all axes with longer glandular and eglandular hairs and shorter hooked hairs; peduncle to 2.7 cm long; bracts ovate, c. 13 × 7 mm, apex acuminate; pedicels 5–28 mm long. Calyx 5-lobed; lobes divided to base, narrowly ovate, 16–17 × 2–2.2 mm, apex acuminate, densely pubescent as on inflorescence axes. Corolla infundibuliform, 37–52 mm long; pale blue to violet with few darker lines ventrally, lobes orbicular, apices rounded; tube 28–35 mm long; upper lobes c. 9 × 7 mm, lateral lobes c. 5 × 7 mm, lower lobe c. 7 × 7 mm, pubescent outside with glandular and eglandular hairs, glabrous inside. Fertile stamens 2; filaments slightly curved, c. 11.6 mm long, densely pubescent at apex; anthers coherent, 1.4–2 × 3–5 mm, densely pubescent; staminodes 3, c. 8 mm long, pubescent at apex. Disc not seen. Ovary c. 13 mm long, densely glandular pubescent; style c. 15 mm long, densely glandular pubescent; stigma chiritoid, lower lip 2-lobed, c. 3 mm long. Capsules narrowly fusiform, straight, 2–3.5 cm long, sparsely pubescent. Seeds 0.4–0.5 × 0.15–0.2 mm.

Flowering & fruiting: Flowering from April–June and fruiting from July–December.

Habitat: Growing on rocks in primary forest.

Distribution: Endemic to Vietnam.
Fig. 4. Photographic images of Deinostigma cicatricosa (W.T.Wang) D.J.Middleton & Mich.Möller (a, b), its phylogenetically closest relative D. cyrtocarpa (D.Fang & L.Zeng) Mich.Möller & H.J.Atkins (c, d), and D. minutihamata (D.Wood) D.J.Middleton & H.J.Atkins (e–j): a, c, e. Habit; b, d, f. Flowers; g. Adaxial and abaxial side of leaves; h. Cut open flower; i. Stamens (left) and staminodes (right); j. Calyx and pistil. a, c, e. scale bars = 2 cm, b, d, f, h scale bars = 1 cm, g–j scales in mm (photos a & c by M. Möller; b & d by Yi-Gang Wei; e–j by H.Q. Bui).
Averyanov, N.T. Hiep, P.K. Loc VH4492 (HN [HN0000031034]). Quang Nam, Nam Tra My district, Tra Linh commune, Tra Cang village, N 15°02'37.5", E 108°02'19.9", 692 m, 18.06.2018, Quang 218 (HN).
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Appendix A:

Characteristics of the Bayesian Inference analysis for the combined ITS and trnL-F data for the relationships among Deinostigma species.

Average standard deviation of split frequencies: 0.009947

Analysis stopped because convergence diagnostic hit stop value.
Analysis completed in 6 mins 21 seconds
Analysis used 380.80 seconds of CPU time

Likelihood of best state for “cold” chain of run 1 was -7709.24
Likelihood of best state for “cold” chain of run 2 was -7709.59

Acceptance rates for the moves in the “cold” chain of run 1:

With prob. (last 100) chain accepted proposals by move

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Move</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
<th>Last 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dirichlet(Revmat{all})</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>(28%) Dirichlet(Revmat{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slider(Revmat{all})</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>(34%) Slider(Revmat{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirichlet(Pi{1})</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>(24%) Dirichlet(Pi{1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slider(Pi{1})</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>(31%) Slider(Pi{1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirichlet(Pi{2})</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>(25%) Dirichlet(Pi{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slider(Pi{2})</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>(21%) Slider(Pi{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier(Alpha{2,3})</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>(27%) Multiplier(Alpha{2,3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slider(Pinvar{1,3})</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>(19%) Slider(Pinvar{1,3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExtSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>(1%) ExtSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExtTBR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>(7%) ExtTBR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNI(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>(3%) NNI(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParsSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>(3%) ParsSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier(V{all})</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>(31%) Multiplier(V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodeslider(V{all})</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>(22%) Nodeslider(V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLMultiplier(V{all})</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>(27%) TLMultiplier(V{all})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acceptance rates for the moves in the “cold” chain of run 2:

With prob. (last 100) chain accepted proposals by move

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Move</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
<th>Last 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dirichlet(Revmat{all})</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>(31%) Dirichlet(Revmat{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slider(Pi{2})</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>(23%) Slider(Pi{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier(Alpha{2,3})</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>(27%) Multiplier(Alpha{2,3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slider(Pinvar{1,3})</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>(32%) Slider(Pinvar{1,3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExtSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>(4%) ExtSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExtTBR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>(7%) ExtTBR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNI(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>(7%) NNI(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParsSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>(6%) ParsSPR(Tau{all},V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier(V{all})</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>(28%) Multiplier(V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodeslider(V{all})</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>(23%) Nodeslider(V{all})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLMultiplier(V{all})</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>(24%) TLMultiplier(V{all})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chain swap information for run 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chain</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chain swap information for run 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chain</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upper diagonal: Proportion of successful state exchanges between chains
Lower diagonal: Number of attempted state exchanges between chains

Chain information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Heat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00 (cold chain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heat = 1 / (1 + T * (ID - 1))
(where T = 0.10 is the temperature and ID is the chain number)